Chronic Intranasal Oxytocin Causes Long-Term Impairments in Partner Preference Formation in Male Prairie Voles

Karen L. Bales, Allison M. Perkeybile, Olivia G. Conley, Meredith H. Lee, Caleigh D. Guoynes, Griffin M. Downing, Catherine R. Yun, Marjorie Solomon, Suma Jacob, and Sally P. Mendoza

Background: Oxytocin (OT) is a hormone shown to be involved in social bonding in animal models. Intranasal OT is currently in clinical trials for use in disorders such as autism and schizophrenia. We examined long-term effects of intranasal OT given developmentally in the prairie vole (*Microtus ochrogaster*), a socially monogamous rodent, often used as an animal model to screen drugs that have therapeutic potential for social disorders.

Methods: We treated voles with one of three dosages of intranasal OT, or saline, from day 21 (weaning) through day 42 (sexual maturity). We examined both social behavior immediately following administration, as well as long-term changes in social and anxiety behavior after treatment ceased. Group sizes varied from 8 to 15 voles (n = 89 voles total).

Results: Treatment with OT resulted in acute increases in social behavior in male voles with familiar partners, as seen in humans. However, long-term developmental treatment with low doses of intranasal OT resulted in a deficit in partner preference behavior (a reduction of contact with a familiar opposite-sex partner, used to index pair-bond formation) by male voles.

Conclusions: Long-term developmental treatment with OT may show results different to those predicted by short-term studies, as well as significant sex differences and dosage effects. Further animal study is crucial to determining safe and effective strategies for use of chronic intranasal OT, especially during development.

Key Words: Autism, intranasal, oxytocin, schizophrenia, social behavior, vasopressin

Notice that the second exploration of the second exclusively in mammals, is associated with maternal behavior (1,2) and adult pair-bond formation (partner preference) behavior (3,4) in rodents. Born *et al.* (5) showed that many neuropeptides crossed the blood-brain barrier when given intranasally. Although Born *et al.* (5) did not actually examine OT, this study has led to an expansion of studies examining intranasal OT actions on human social behavior. Generally, in healthy subjects, prosocial feelings, including generosity and trust (6–8), social communication and emotional recognition (9,10), self-perception (11), and social interactions with offspring are altered by OT (12).

Intranasal OT, currently the subject of multiple clinical trials (clinicaltrials.gov), has been identified as a treatment for developmental disorders involving social dysfunction, including autism spectrum disorders (13), social anxiety (14), and schizophrenia (15). In individuals with autism, intranasal OT was shown to increase emotion recognition (13) and to increase feelings of trust and willingness to interact socially (16). Acute OT

Address correspondence to Karen Lisa Bales, Ph.D., University of California, Department of Psychology, One Shields Ave, 135 Young Hall, Davis, CA 95616; E-mail: klbales@ucdavis.edu.

Received May 26, 2012; revised Aug 31, 2012; accepted Aug 31, 2012.

administration reveals few safety concerns (17). However, no studies have examined long-term effects of intranasal OT exposure. With sustained stimulation, OT receptors can undergo desensitization and internalization (18) leading to physiological tolerance. In other words, it is possible that long-term exposure, especially during development, may lead to different effects than those predicted by short-term results. Given that OT is not a controlled substance, is in clinical trials, and is already being prescribed off-label by health practitioners in the United States (written communication to K.L.B., April 25, 2011), animal studies of long-term effects are overdue and should be pursued in a coordinated strategy with human studies.

In addition, few human intranasal OT studies have examined dose-response curves. Oxytocin (like other peptides) can produce opposing effects at different dosages (19–22). In schizophrenic patients, intranasal OT increased emotion recognition at one dose (20 IU) and decreased emotion recognition at a different dose (10 IU) (23). In Fragile X patients, one dose (24 IU) increased eye gaze but did not affect cortisol, while a higher dose (48 IU) affected cortisol but not eye gaze (14). In voles, we found a single intraperitoneal OT administration on postnatal day 1 led to long-term effects on partner preference in both male and female prairie voles, which differed depending on dose (19,20).

The prairie vole (*Microtus ochrogaster*), a socially monogamous rodent native to the American Midwest (24), is the premier animal model for the neurobiology of social bonding (25) and increasingly used to screen drugs that have therapeutic potential for social disorders such as autism (26,27). Pair-bond formation is a social cognitive process that involves both social recognition and social reward (27–29) and models a human attachment relationship far more closely than do the social interactions of adult mice or rats. Prairie voles are evolutionarily adapted for this type of social behavior and therefore have neural substrates for social bonding that nonmonogamous species might lack.

From the From the Department of Psychology (KLB, AMP, OGC, MHL, CDG, GMD, CRY), University of California, and California National Primate Research Center (KLB, SPM), Davis; and John F. Kennedy High School (MHL), and MIND Institute (MS), Sacramento, California; and Department of Psychiatry (SJ), University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, Illinois.



Figure 1. Timeline of study procedures.

In prairie voles, OT has been shown to have extensive sexspecific effects, although in both sexes it is intimately involved in social behavior. Oxytocin is primarily responsible for pair-bonding in female voles, with the related peptide arginine vasopressin (AVP) responsible for pair-bonding in male voles (3,30-33). Adult male voles are also responsive to OT but require higher dosages than female voles to induce a partner preference (4). Male voles appear to facilitate infant care behavior through either the OT or AVP system (34). In single developmental manipulations of the OT system, male voles appear to be more responsive to lower doses of OT, which induce changes in partner preference (20,35), sexual behavior, and reproductive potential (36); responses to infants (37); and AVP receptors (38). Female voles seem more resilient to developmental manipulations, typically responding only at higher dose of OT (19,39). Some data suggest that women may be more responsive to OT than men [40], but a recent meta-analysis indicated data are insufficient to analyze gender differences, even in the best studied areas, trust and facial recognition (41).

In this study, we administered three dosages of intranasal OT, or a saline control, daily to prairie voles from age 21 days to 42 days. This age range represents the period from weaning through sexual maturity, roughly equivalent to the developmental span being used in at least one of the clinical trials (Clinicaltrials.gov identifier: NCT01256060, Principal Investigators E. Anagnoustou and S. Jacob). We examined the acute effects of OT administration on social interactions with a familiar cage mate. After the end of OT administration, we ran a series of adult tests on social and anxiety behavior to examine the long-term effects of chronic OT administration. We hypothesized that the long-term effects of chronic OT would be sex- and dosage-dependent and not always prosocial. Specifically, we predicted that chronic developmental exposure to OT would result in disruption of critical, species-specific social behaviors such as formation of a partner preference, display of alloparenting, and interactions with juveniles, especially at the highest dosage. We predicted that anxiety-related behaviors would be associated with lower normative social behavior. We also predicted that disruptions of social behavior would occur at lower dosages in male voles than in female voles.

Methods and Materials

Subjects

Subjects were prairie voles (*Microtus ochrogaster*) from the breeding colony in the Department of Psychology of the University of California, Davis. This colony was originally started

with animals obtained from Dr. C. Sue Carter at the University of Illinois, Chicago. Voles are maintained in breeding pairs in polycarbonate cages ($44 \times 22 \times 16$ cm), with water and food (Purina High Fiber Rabbit Chow, PMI Nutrition International, Brentwood, Missouri) ad libitum. They are on a 14:10 light cycle and maintained at approximately 70°F. All procedures were reviewed and approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the University of California, Davis.

At 20 days of age, subjects were weaned and marked with nontoxic Nyanzol D dye (American Color and Chemical Corporation, Charlotte, North Carolina) for identification. They were then housed in same-sex pairs in smaller cages ($27 \times 16 \times 13$ cm), with a sibling when available and a similarly aged nonsibling when not available. Sixteen percent of male subjects and 21% of female subjects were housed with a nonsibling animal. All subjects were thus sex- and pairing-naive.

Intranasal OT Treatments

Starting on day 21, subjects received intranasal treatments for 21 days (Figure 1). Treatments were sterile saline or oxytocin (Bachem, Torrance, California) at one of three dosages: low (.08 IU/kg), medium (.8 IU/kg), or high (8.0 IU/kg). The medium dosage was based on publicly available information regarding clinical studies in progress that were testing the effects of OT on social deficits in autism. The medium dosage was roughly equivalent to a weight-adjusted dose used in cited human studies. Specifically, it would be equivalent to a 40 IU dosage given to a 110-lb subject. Group sizes varied from 8 to 15 voles (n = 89 voles total). Intranasal treatments were administered once per day, in the morning between 7:00 AM and 12:00 PM. A blunt cannula needle (33 gauge, 2.8 mm length; Plastics One, Roanoke, Virginia) was attached to cannula tubing, flushed, and filled with the compound, then attached to an airtight Hamilton syringe (Bachem, Torrance, California). The animal was held still and 25 uL of compound was expelled slowly through the cannula needle and allowed to absorb into the nasal mucosa (divided between the two nostrils). Following administration, the animal was returned to its home cage and familiar companion. Initial order of treatment for cage mates was randomized and then alternated on subsequent test days. Administration was rapid (less than 30 seconds) and handling was consistent across treatment groups.

Acute Behavioral Observations

Twice per week of treatment, behavioral observations were conducted following OT administration for each animal (30 minutes of acute behavioral data per animal). Following OT treatment, animals were returned to their home cage and allowed 5 minutes to resume normal activities; then, a 5-minute focal observation of each cage mate was performed. The last treatment was administered on day 42. The treatments thus spanned from weaning (and the earliest known age of sexual maturity) to full sexual maturity (42,43).

Adult Tests

Within the 2 weeks following the end of treatment, each vole received five behavioral tests as detailed in Figure 1. This time span (approximately 42 days to 60 days of age) is still squarely within the time period of young adulthood for a prairie vole (43).

All behavioral scorers (for this and other tests) were trained against one primary observer (or in the case of recorded tests, against a recording previously scored by a primary observer). All observers were trained to 95% or greater reliability on all behaviors before they were allowed to score actual sessions. Tests were either scored live or recorded and scored later, in either case using Behavior Tracker 1.5 (www.behaviortracker.com).

Alloparental Care Testing

Alloparental care tests are 10-minute tests in which the vole has access to two cages, an empty cage and a cage containing a 0- to 4-day old pup (34). Many nulliparous rodents find pups to be an aversive stimulus (44); however, male prairie voles are overwhelmingly alloparental with approximately 70% to 80% acting parentally upon first exposure to a pup (37,45). Virgin female prairie voles, on the other hand, are less likely to be alloparental and more likely to attack pups (37,45,46).

Elevated Plus-Maze Testing

The elevated plus-maze (EPM) tests anxiety and exploration (47,48) by exploiting preference to remain in dark, enclosed places. The EPM consisted of two open and two closed opaque arms, each 67 cm long and 5.5 cm wide (48), elevated 1 m above the floor. Vole behavior in the maze has been shown to be responsive to early experience (49) and pharmacologic manipulations (50). Each vole was placed in the center of the EPM and its behavior scored for 5 minutes.

Open Field Testing

The open field test also tests anxiety and exploration in prairie voles (51) and other rodents (47,52). Time spent in the center of the open field is interpreted as exploratory behavior, while time along the edges is interpreted as escape or anxiety behavior. The open field consisted of a $40 \times 40 \times 40$ cm Plexiglas box with grids marked on the floor. The vole was placed in the center of the arena and behavior was digitally recorded for 10 minutes and scored as per Olazabal and Young (51).

Juvenile Affiliation Testing

A 15- to 20-day old juvenile vole placed in a two-chamber arena provides a friendly, nonthreatening stimulus (53,54) to evaluate social motivation. Behavior toward the juvenile was digitally recorded for 10 minutes.

Partner Preference Testing

This is an operational index of pair-bond formation (55), used extensively with prairie voles to investigate the effects of hormones and early experience on pair-bonding (4,35,56,57). The test vole was given a cohabitation period with an opposite-sex partner (6 hours for female voles, 24 hours for male voles) previously shown to be sufficient for formation of a partner preference (58). Prairie voles are induced ovulators that normally start displaying lordosis between 42 and 68 hours after exposure to a strange, naive male vole, with a median of 52 hours (59). For female subjects, therefore, we did not expect mating during the 6-hour cohabitation period or subsequent preference testing. Only one female subject mated during the partner preference test (a low-dose OT-treated subject that mated with the strange male). Male subjects were paired with intact, nonestrogen primed stimulus female subjects, which also should theoretically not have entered behavioral estrus during the cohabitation period, but should have been a much more attractive social partner for a normal male prairie vole than a strange female not in estrus.

Following cohabitation, test and stimulus voles (partner and stranger) were placed in a three-chamber apparatus. The partner animal, as well as a stranger of the same sex, age, and approximate size, were loosely tethered within the two end cages, while the test animal was placed, untethered, in the empty middle cage. The test animal could choose to spend time in either the partner's cage, the stranger's cage, or a third, empty cage. Tests were digitally recorded. Durations spent in each location (time spent in partner, stranger, and empty cages) were assessed, as well as duration of time spent in side-to-side contact with stimulus animals.

Data Analysis

Social behaviors measured for each social test (alloparenting, juvenile affiliation, and partner preference) differed somewhat (Tables 1–5). Throughout the testing, we were most interested in side-to-side contact, both because OT is intimately involved in gentle touch across many species and social bonds (60) and because this behavior has been classically measured to assess social bond formation in prairie voles (3,55). We also focused on approach behaviors as reflecting the motivation to interact socially; underlying neural substrates may involve both dopamine and OT (61,62). Anxiety was evaluated by frequency of autogrooming from each test, the number of line crosses and time spent in the center squares in the open field, and time spent in the closed arm) of the plus-maze. Other behavioral variables are presented in Tables 1–5 but not statistically analyzed.

Initially, male and female saline-treated animals were compared for baseline sex differences via mixed model analyses of variance (ANOVAs) (63) in SAS 9.2 (SAS Institute, Cary, North Carolina). Sexes were then considered separately with treatment as the fixed factor. For acute observations, ID was a random factor, thus accounting for the multiple observations on each animal. For other tests, litter within pair was a random factor. All significance levels were set at p < .05 and all tests were two-tailed.

Data from partner preference testing were analyzed in two different ways. First, time spent with partner and time spent with stranger were analyzed in separate mixed model ANOVAs as described above. A second two-way ANOVA was also performed with stimulus animal (partner or stranger) as one factor, treatment as the second factor, and a stimulus animal by treatment interaction.

Results

Acute Behavioral Observations

Behavioral observations starting 5 minutes following administration of OT showed acute, positive effects on interactions with a familiar cage mate in male voles (Figure 2, additional data in



Figure 2. After acute administration of intranasal oxytocin (OT), voles were observed with familiar cage mates. **(A)** Male voles increased contact at all dosages of OT [F(3,227) = 3.48, p = .017], while **(B)** female voles did not respond to OT [F(3,237) = .76, p = .516]. Different letters indicate significant differences between means.

Table 1). When considering the saline treatment only, there was a sex difference in autogrooming [F(1,181) = 5.08, p = .026] and a trend for a sex difference in contact [F(1,181) = 3.64, p = .058; Table 1]; saline-treated male voles autogroomed more and spent less time in social contact than saline-treated female voles. Male

voles increased contact with the cage mate when they received OT of any dosage compared with saline [F(3,227) = 3.48, p = .017]. Social approach was also significantly affected by OT in male voles, although effects varied by dosage, with low dosages inhibiting approach [F(3,227) = 2.97, p = .033; Table 1]. Autogrooming following administration was significantly and dosedependently reduced in male voles [F(3,227) = 2.74, p = .044], with male voles receiving the highest dosage of OT autogrooming the least. Oxytocin administration had no effects on acute social behavior in female voles (Table 1).

Behavioral Testing Following Long-Term Treatments

Alloparental care tests were carried out on approximately day 43, after intranasal treatment was completed. There were no sex differences in saline-treated animals (Table 2). The overall ANOVAs for female contact and approach were not significant. However, a suggestive pattern emerged in which chronic intranasal exposure to low-dose OT may have affected female interactions with unrelated pups (Table 2), causing a reduction in total contact with pups when compared with saline control subjects. While there were no significant treatment effects on male contact with pups or on autogrooming (Table 2), there was a trend for treatments to affect approach startles [F(3,24) = 2.42, p = .091].

Elevated plus-maze tests did not indicate any effects of intranasal OT on anxiety (Table 3), nor were there sex differences in saline-treated animals. In the test of interactions with a strange juvenile, there were sex effects in saline-treated animals on autogrooming [F(1,21) = 7.68, p = .039] with female voles autogrooming more than male voles during this test (Table 4). There were no treatment effects on contact or approaches with a strange juvenile (Table 4).

In the open field test (Table 5), there was a trend in salinetreated animals for female voles to autogroom more than male voles [F(1,22) = 5.19, p = .072]. Female voles showed a treatment effect of OT on line crosses [F(3,26) = 3.66, p = .025], a measure of emotionality (47). In post hoc tests, female voles treated with either low or medium OT treatments crossed fewer lines than female voles treated with saline (Table 5).

In the partner preference test, there were sex differences in saline-treated animals in time spent in contact with the partner [F(1,21) = 11.09, p = .045], with male voles spending more time with the partner (Figure 3). Male voles that were treated with low

Table 1. Results of Acute Behavioral Observations Following OT Administration

Behavior	Saline	Low OT	Medium OT	High OT
Male Voles	<i>n</i> = 14	<i>n</i> = 10	<i>n</i> = 10	<i>n</i> = 10
Social contact	187.35 ± 16.31 ^a	219.61 ± 17.0 ^b	225.24 ± 14.62 ^b	210.97 ± 19.97 ^b
Sniff	1.87 ± .47	1.00 ± .28	1.10 ± .37	2.49 ± .64
Groom	5.76 ± 1.32	9.50 ± 2.74	8.31 ± 2.08	6.26 ± 1.49
Approach	1.76 ± .23 ^a	$1.15 \pm .19^{b}$	1.16 ± .21 ^{<i>a,b</i>}	2.05 ± .33 ^{<i>a,c</i>}
Total play	.41 ± .11	.25 ± .10	.52 ± .18	.29 ± .10
Autogroom	51.1 ± 5.7 ^a	$38.8 \pm 6.4^{a,b}$	$39.3 \pm 6.0^{a,b}$	30.6 ± 6.1^{b}
Female Voles	<i>n</i> = 15	<i>n</i> = 11	<i>n</i> = 10	<i>n</i> = 10
Social contact	208.83 ± 12.88	210.23 ± 13.73	187.52 ± 13.93	204.03 ± 14.88
Sniff	1.50 ± .37	2.45 ± .67	2.13 ± .59	1.81 ± .56
Groom	4.70 ± 1.06	6.58 ± 1.57	6.08 ± 1.70	6.46 ± 1.79
Approach	1.63 ± .24	2.04 ± .32	1.63 ± .20	1.67 ± .27
Total play	.41 ± .11	.29 ± .16	.24 ± .10	.39 ± .12
Autogroom	34.7 ± 4.7	37.1 ± 5.8	47.1 ± 6.9	$34.1~\pm~5.9$

Differing letters indicate significant differences between treatments. OT, oxytocin.

Table 2. Results of Alloparental Care T	Testing in Male and Female Voles
---	----------------------------------

Behavior	Saline	Low OT	Medium OT	High OT
Male Voles	<i>n</i> = 14	<i>n</i> = 9	<i>n</i> = 10	<i>n</i> = 10
Sniff pup	.64 ± .2	1.33 ± .44	2.0 ± .77	.5 ± .27
Lick pup	182.64 ± 41.13	212.67 ± 63.21	185.0 ± 31.46	193.7 ± 53.64
Retrieve	1.0 ± .56	.67 ± .33	3.1 ± 2.56	.4 ± .22
Contact	57.86 ± 22.63	88.11 ± 62.45	54.3 ± 23.9	80.5 ± 36.51
Startle	1.64 ± .4	1.33 ± .6	4.9 ± 3.18	.5 ± .31
Autogroom	13.29 ± 3.65	11.33 ± 2.69	25.6 ± 9.58	7.5 ± 2.57
Female Voles	<i>n</i> = 15	<i>n</i> = 11	<i>n</i> = 10	<i>n</i> = 9
Sniff pup	2.07 ± .62	.55 ± .37	1.30 ± .62	1.56 ± .77
Lick pup	185.1 ± 32.45	94.5 ± 31.0	139.0 ± 35.68	168.2 ± 45.44
Retrieve	2.40 ± .87	.64 ± .31	1.50 ± .5	1.33 ± .71
Contact	68.87 ± 28.18	38.0 ± 25.72	118.6 ± 40.45	139.7 ± 41.93
Startle	1.73 ± .88	1.00 ± .38	1.30 ± .88	.67 ± .24
Autogroom	9.33 ± 2.49	7.45 ± 3.77	29.50 ± 14.11	11.56 ± 4.23

OT, oxytocin.

or medium dosages of chronic intranasal OT later showed deficits in formation of a partner preference, tested approximately 2 weeks after the cessation of OT treatment (Figure 3), while female voles did not. Male voles showed a significant reduction in time spent with the female pair-mate [F(3,20) = 3.12, p = .048]. Time spent with the stranger did not differ significantly by treatment, nor did time spent in the empty cage.

When analyzed with treatment as one factor and stimulus animal (partner or stranger) as the second factor, female voles showed a significant effect of stimulus animal [F(1) = 15.97, p < .001] but no treatment effect [F(3) = .10, p = .961] and no treatment by stimulus interaction [F(3) = .59, p = .622] (Figure 3). Male voles, in contrast, showed a significant effect of stimulus animal [F(1) = 6.60, p = .013], and no treatment effect [F(3) = .568], but a significant treatment by stimulus animal interaction [F(3) = 3.03, p = .037].

Discussion

The acute effects of intranasal OT that we found here resemble those found in many human studies, consisting of an increase in prosocial behavior and engagement (specifically time spent in contact in male subjects) (13,15,64–66). However, in this study, we were able to study long-term developmental effects of repeated intranasal treatments with OT. The picture that emerges is one in which dosage and sex effects are extremely important. In particular, our medium and low dosages (medium = similar to that used in human studies, while low = an order of magnitude

lower) changed social behavior, primarily partner preferences in male subjects, in a potentially detrimental fashion (Figure 3).

Specifically, male voles treated with low or medium doses of OT displayed impaired formation of a pair-bond, shown by a reduction of time spent with a familiar partner. Twenty-four hours is considered more than sufficient time for male voles to form a partner preference (67). Lack of partner preference formation could indicate a deficit in social memory formation (68), a lack of motivation to interact with the mate (69,70), a lack of hedonic reward (71) experienced from contact with the mate, or potentially other processes that would need to be disentangled by further research. The observed changes in social behavior do not reflect a difference in general social motivation, as time spent alone did not differ between treatment groups.

While not statistically analyzed here, other behaviors measured suggest that male voles treated with low OT also showed less interest in a strange juvenile (Table 4) (and more interest in a strange pup, Table 2), indicating perhaps altered social behavior in multiple social contexts. Similar patterns of behavior with lowdose OT in female interactions with pups (Table 2), which do suggest lower motivation to socially interact, also deserve further investigation and replication in a future study. It is also worth considering whether increased interactions with unfamiliar animals might be viewed as negative or positive, for instance, as a more general urge to affiliate. Better social interactions with strangers might be a desirable goal in human treatments (although reduced social interactions with family and friends would not be). In this case, however, these changes in partner

Table 3.	Results	of	Elevated	Plus-Maze	Testing	(Means	<u>+</u>	Standard	Errors	;)
----------	---------	----	----------	-----------	---------	--------	----------	----------	--------	----

Behavior	Saline	Low OT	Medium OT	High OT
Male Voles	<i>n</i> = 14	<i>n</i> = 10	<i>n</i> = 10	<i>n</i> = 10
Open arm	94.4 ± 16.79	94.1 ± 26.85	92.1 ± 20.93	104.9 ± 15.41
Closed arm	171.86 ± 17.11	177.6 ± 27.67	172.2 ± 24.52	160.7 ± 13.71
Center	32.0 ± 4.9	30.4 ± 3.15	37.8 ± 9.32	33.1 ± 3.68
Ratio	.355 ± .062	.347 ± .097	.361 ± .088	.392 ± .055
Female Voles	<i>n</i> = 15	<i>n</i> = 11	<i>n</i> = 10	<i>n</i> = 9
Open arm	113.3 ± 18.34	112.9 ± 32.0	108.2 ± 26.92	86.67 ± 30.16
Closed arm	145.57 ± 18.0	166.9 ± 28.46	141.5 ± 26.56	195.67 ± 27.15
Center	45.87 ± 12.67	28.64 ± 5.34	49.0 ± 13.3	25.56 ± 5.3
Ratio	.437 ± .068	.389 ± .107	.435 ± .095	.298 ± .095

OT, oxytocin.

Table 4. Results of Juvenile Affiliation	Testing (Means ± Standard Errors)
--	-----------------------------------

Behavior	Saline	Low OT	Medium OT	High OT
Male Voles	<i>n</i> = 14	<i>n</i> = 10	<i>n</i> = 10	<i>n</i> = 10
Rear	51.21 ± 9.9	62.6 ± 11.78	51.7 ± 7.94	43.0 ± 6.26
Sniff	68.36 ± 9.94	49.6 ± 12.44	74.1 ± 12.06	69.7 ± 15.47
Withdraw	19.43 ± 4.94	12.1 ± 3.55	9.4 ± 3.34	12.6 ± 2.25
Lunge	1.21 ± .53	.6 ± .27	.5 ± .17	.3 ± .15
Autogroom	19.07 ± 6.15	15.5 ± 5.3	40.6 ± 18.25	13.6 ± 4.35
Contact	.43 ± .25	7.4 ± 7.4	3.3 ± 1.8	.6 ± .5
Wrestle	1.36 ± .71	1.3 ± .99	1.3 ± 1.01	1.2 ± .73
Female Voles	<i>n</i> = 14	<i>n</i> = 11	<i>n</i> = 9	<i>n</i> = 9
Rear	37.71 ± 6.52	33.91 ± 8.08	33.78 ± 7.45	53.44 ± 13.23
Sniff	77.0 ± 10.44	72.45 ± 11.61	61.33 ± 8.49	59.11 ± 11.27
Withdraw	5.64 ± 2.02	4.82 ± 1.66	2.0 ± .44	2.44 ± .71
Lunge	.57 ± .44	1.0 ± .75	.33 ± .24	.00 ± .00
Autogroom	34.64 ± 15.21	13.3 ± 7.55	26.44 ± 8.67	1.67 ± 9.13
Contact	24.07 ± 13.55	10.55 ± 8.66	10.11 ± 4.30	1.67 ± 1.07
Wrestle	10.29 ± 4.93	.64 ± 1.44	3.55 ± 2.11	3.11 ± 1.89

OT, oxytocin.

preference behavior are clearly species-atypical, and the apparent direction of changes in female behavior toward pups is clearly less nurturing.

Interestingly, the impaired social behavior we observed in tests does not appear to be secondary to an increase in anxiety. In fact, acutely OT-treated male voles actually showed lower autogrooming (one measure of anxiety). Multiple measures of anxiety across the adult tests indicated either no difference between OT- and saline-treated animals or a reduction in emotionality in OT-treated animals (such as in the lower number of line crosses in the open field in OT-treated female voles). The detrimental changes thus appear to be relatively specific to social behavior.

While sex differences in responses to OT are pervasive in both adult voles (3,72) and in single-dose developmental studies (37,38,73), the human literature is still lacking in sufficient information to assess the impact of gender on OT response. It will be important in future human research to assess both genders at the same time and at multiple dosages. It is also worth emphasizing that our intranasal OT treatments were given to developing animals, for a time span chosen to approximate humans aged 12 to 17 years. Developmental treatments can have particular ramifications to receptor binding and upregulation or downregulation of peptide production (38,54,74,75). Animal research on additional developmental ages, as well as treatment effects on adults, will be important in the future. Finally, we also used a between-subjects design in this study and used different tests that were developmentally appropriate for each age. While this avoided design issues associated with retesting, it also did not allow us to assess changes in the same behaviors longitudinally. Future research could concentrate on tests that are well validated throughout development and that can be used multiple times.

The possible mechanism for these changes is intriguing. If the main behavioral results had been at high dosages, a potential culprit would be secondary binding to vasopressin receptors. In other words, high-dose OT might saturate OT receptors and subsequently bind to vasopressin receptors, to which OT also has binding affinity and which can cause differing and sometimes opposite behavioral effects (18,38,76,77). However, this explanation is less likely for the results seen here, as the low-dose OT would not flood the receptors to the extent that the higher doses would. It is possible that the intranasal OT has 1) acted to

Table 5.	Results o	of Open Field	Testing	(Means ±	Standard	Errors)
----------	-----------	---------------	---------	----------	----------	---------

Behavior	Saline	Low OT	Medium OT	High OT
Male Voles	<i>n</i> = 14	<i>n</i> = 10	<i>n</i> = 10	<i>n</i> = 10
Center	71.21 ± 11.84	111.1 ± 49.3	84.3 ± 18.96	114.2 ± 38.42
Periphery	524.29 ± 11.47	530.1 ± 14.32	476.0 ± 44.21	507.6 ± 16.84
Line crosses	359.5 ± 41.88	446.6 ± 95.61	483.4 ± 84.64	423.1 ± 62.77
Autogroom	18.21 ± 5.91	13.6 ± 6.69	46.8 ± 28.87	15.6 ± 5.04
Rear	55.64 ± 7.2	49.6 ± 10.04	57.1 ± 10.75	55.0 + 10.33
Female Voles	<i>n</i> = 15	<i>n</i> = 11	<i>n</i> = 10	<i>n</i> = 9
Center	138.2 ± 46.8	73.64 ± 14.55	45.5 ± 10.0	77.55 ± 13.23
Periphery	535.6 ± 9.38	524.27 ± 14.58	552.3 ± 10.41	520.11 ± 13.19
Line crosses	563.2 ± 62.38^{a}	361.91 ± 44.58 ^b	279.4 ± 57.42 ^b	486.22 ± 70.74 ^a
Autogroom	23.6 ± 7.04	20.09 ± 8.01	30.4 ± 16.03	15.88 ± 3.78
Rear	64.87 ± 6.82	54.18 ± 6.56	37.7 ± 8.64	55.78 ± 10.85

Differing letters indicate significant differences between treatments. OT, oxytocin.

www.sobp.org/journal



Figure 3. The effects of chronic intranasal oxytocin (OT) on partner preferences in prairie voles. Chronic intranasal OT significantly affected the duration of time that male voles spent in contact with a familiar partner [F(3,20) = 3.12, p = .048]. There was no treatment effect on the duration of time that female voles spent in contact with a familiar partner [F(3,25) = .14, p = .933] or an effect on time spent with the stranger for either sex.

upregulate or downregulate endogenous OT release; 2) upregulated or downregulated the closely related peptide arginine vasopressin (78); or 3) desensitized and downregulated the OT receptor (79,80). It is also possible that low doses could have negatively impacted behavior through modulating peripheral OT receptors, while at the highest dose, sufficient OT might have entered the brain and rescued behavioral deficits caused by chronic peripheral stimulation. These possibilities require further investigation and may significantly inform treatment decisions.

While manipulations of OT hold great promise for treatment of disorders involving social deficits, the results of this study should sound a cautionary note. In particular, many parents may believe that starting their children off at lower dosages of any treatment, including intranasal OT, is safer. The results of the current study suggest otherwise. Moreover, long-term changes in social behavior induced by chronic OT treatment may include effects that diminish rather than promote social bonding and these apparently detrimental social consequences of OT treatment persist long beyond the treatments themselves. The need for animal studies that examine the dosages, timing of administration, sex differences in efficacy, and developmental timing of potential OT therapeutics is clear.

There are several ways in which these and future animal studies can be used to inform human treatment options. It is important to note that acute administration did have prosocial effects in the context of interactions with a familiar partner. Context may be important for long-term effects of OT (for example, pairing OT administration with specific environments or social learning tasks) to generate specific effects in humans and animals (81). Short-term administration may be safer or more effective than chronic administration, although ideally long-term learning effects would be demonstrated. Finally, in this study, the detrimental effects were only observed after OT treatment was stopped. Future investigations should determine if OT administration continued into adulthood, rather than stopped at some developmental time point, would have similar or different effects.

This research was funded by the University of California, Davis, and by National Institutes of Health Grants HD071998 and HD060117.

We thank Drs. Cindy Clayton and Rhonda Oates-O'Brien for veterinary care of the prairie voles and four anonymous reviewers for improving this paper.

Dr. Jacob reports her involvement as a co-investigator on a Department of Defense-funded clinical trial of intranasal oxytocin. All other authors report no biomedical financial interests or potential conflicts of interest.

- 1. Pedersen CA, Prange AJ Jr (1979): Induction of maternal behavior in virgin rats after intracerebroventricular administration of oxytocin. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 76:6661–6665.
- Neumann I, Russell JA, Landgraf R (1993): Oxytocin and vasopressin release within the supraoptic and paraventricular nuclei of pregnant, parturient and lactating rats: A microdialysis study. *Neuroscience* 53: 65–75.
- 3. Williams JR, Insel TR, Harbaugh CR, Carter CS (1994): Oxytocin centrally administered facilitates formation of a partner preference in female prairie voles (*Microtus ochrogaster*). J Neuroendocrinol 6: 247–250.
- Cho MM, DeVries AC, Williams JR, Carter CS (1999): The effects of oxytocin and vasopressin on partner preferences in male and female prairie voles (*Microtus ochrogaster*). *Behav Neurosci* 113:1071–1079.
- Born J, Lange T, Kern W, McGregor GP, Bickel U, Fehm HL (2002): Sniffing neuropeptides: A transnasal approach to the human brain. *Nat Neurosci* 5:514–516.
- Kosfeld M, Heinrichs M, Zak PJ, Fischbacher U, Fehr E (2005): Oxytocin increases trust in humans. *Nature* 435:673–676.
- 7. Zak PJ (2008): The neurobiology of trust. Sci Am 298:88-92.
- Barraza JA, Zak PJ (2009): Empathy toward strangers triggers oxytocin release and subsequent generosity. Ann N Y Acad Sci 1167:182–189.
- Domes G, Lischke A, Berger C, Grossmann A, Hauenstein K, Heinrichs M, Herpertz SC (2010): Effects of intranasal oxytocin on emotional face processing in women. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 35:83–93.
- Domes G, Heinrichs M, Glascher J, Buchel C, Braus DF, Herpertz SC (2007): Oxytocin attenuates amygdala responses to emotional faces regardless of valence. *Biol Psychiatry* 62:1187–1190.
- Cardoso C, Ellenbogen MA, Linnen AM (2012): Acute intranasal oxytocin improves self-perceptions of personality. *Psychopharmacol*ogy (*Berl*) 220:741–749.
- Naber F, vsn Ijzendoorn MH, Deschamps P, van Engeland H, Bakermans-Kranenburg MJ (2010): Intranasal oxytocin increases fathers' observed responsiveness during play with their children: A double-blind within-subject experiment. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 35:1583–1586.
- Guastella AJ, Einfeld SL, Gray KM, Rinehart NJ, Tonge BJ, Lambert TJ, Hickie IB (2010): Intranasal oxytocin improves emotion recognition for youth with autism spectrum disorders. *Biol Psychiatry* 67:692–694.
- Hall SS, Lightbody AA, McCarthy BE, Parker KJ, Reiss AL (2012): Effects of intranasal oxytocin on social anxiety in males with fragile X syndrome. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 37:509–518.
- 15. Pedersen CA, Gibson CM, Rau SW, Salimi K, Smedley KL, Casey RL, et al. (2011): Intranasal oxytocin reduces psychotic symptoms and

BIOL PSYCHIATRY 2013;74:180–188 187

improves Theory of Mind and social perception in schizophrenia. *Schizophr Res* 132:50–53.

- Andari E, Duhamel J-R, Zalla T, Herbrecht E, Leboyer M, Sirigu A (2010): Promoting social behavior with oxytocin in high-functioning autism spectrum disorders. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 107:4389–4394.
- MacDonald E, Dadds MR, Brennan JL, Williams K, Levy F, Cauchi AJ (2011): A review of safety, side-effects and subjective reactions to intranasal oxytocin in human research. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 36: 1114–1126.
- 18. Gimpl G, Fahrenholz F (2001): The oxytocin receptor system: Structure, function, and regulation. *Physiol Rev* 81:629–683.
- Bales KL, Van Westerhuyzen JA, Lewis-Reese AD, Grotte ND, Lanter JA, Carter CS (2007): Oxytocin has dose-dependent developmental effects on pair-bonding and alloparental care in female prairie voles. *Horm Behav* 52:274–279.
- Carter CS, Boone EM, Bales KL (2008): Early experience and the developmental programming of oxytocin and vasopressin. In: Bridges RS, editor. *Neurobiology of the Parental Brain*. New York: Academic Press, 417–434.
- Kramer KM, Cushing BS, Carter CS (2003): Developmental effects of oxytocin on stress response: Single versus repeated exposure. *Physiol Behav* 79:775–782.
- 22. Kramer KM, Yoshida S, Papademitriou E, Cushing BS (2007): The organizational effects of oxytocin on the central expression of estrogen receptor alpha and oxytocin in adulthood. *BMC Neurosci* 8: 71.
- 23. Goldman MB, Gomes AM, Carter CS, Lee R (2011): Divergent effects of two different doses of intranasal oxytocin on facial affect discrimination in schizophrenic patients with and without polydipsia. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* 216:101–110.
- 24. Carter CS, Getz LL (1993): Monogamy and the prairie vole. *Sci Am* 268: 100–106.
- 25. McGraw LA, Young LJ (2010): The prairie vole: An emerging model organism for understanding the social brain. *Trends Neurosci* 33: 103–109.
- Modi ME, Young LJ (2012): The oxytocin system in drug discovery for autism: Animal models and novel therapeutic strategies. *Horm Behav* 61:340–350.
- Millan MJ, Agid Y, Brune M, Bullmore ET, Carter CS, Clayton NS, et al. (2012): Cognitive dysfunction in psychiatric disorders: Characteristics, causes, and the quest for improved therapy. Nat Rev Drug Disc 11: 141–168.
- 28. Modi ME, Young LJ (2011): D-cycloserine facilitates socially reinforced learning in an animal model relevant to autism spectrum disorders. *Biol Psychiatry* 70:298–304.
- 29. Aragona BJ, Wang Z (2009): Dopamine regulation of social choice in a monogamous rodent species. *Front Behav Neurosci* 3:15.
- 30. Insel TR, Winslow JT, Wang ZX, Young LJ (1998): Oxytocin, vasopressin, and the neuroendocrine basis of pair bond formation. *Prog Brain Res* 449:215–224.
- 31. Carter CS, Williams JR, Witt DM, Insel TR (1992): Oxytocin and social bonding. Ann N Y Acad Sci 652:204–211.
- Ross HE, Cole CD, Smith Y, Neumann ID, Landgraf R, Murphy AZ, Young LJ (2009): Characterization of the oxytocin system regulating affiliative behavior in female prairie voles. *Neuroscience* 162:892–903.
- Lim MM, Young LJ (2004): Vasopressin-dependent neural circuits underlying pair bond formation in the monogamous prairie vole. *Neuroscience* 125:35–45.
- Bales KL, Kim AJ, Lewis-Reese AD, Carter CS (2004): Both oxytocin and vasopressin may influence alloparental behavior in male prairie voles. *Horm Behav* 45:354–361.
- Bales KL, Carter CS (2003): Developmental exposure to oxytocin facilitates partner preferences in male prairie voles (*Microtus ochrogaster*). Behav Neurosci 117:854–859.
- Bales KL, Abdelnabi M, Cushing BS, Ottinger MA, Carter CS (2004): Effects of neonatal oxytocin manipulations on male reproductive potential in prairie voles. *Physiol Behav* 81:519–526.
- Bales KL, Pfeifer LA, Carter CS (2004): Sex differences and effects of manipulations of oxytocin on alloparenting and anxiety in prairie voles. *Dev Psychobiol* 44:123–131.
- Bales KL, Plotsky PM, Young LJ, Lim MM, Grotte ND, Ferrer E, Carter CS (2007): Neonatal oxytocin manipulations have long-lasting, sexually dimorphic effects on vasopressin receptors. *Neuroscience* 144:38–45.

- 39. Carter CS (2003): Developmental consequences of oxytocin. *Physiol Behav* 79:383–397.
- Cardoso C, Linnen AM, Joober R, Ellenbogen MA (2012): Coping style moderates the effect of intranasal oxytocin on the mood response to interpersonal stress. *Exp Clin Psychopharmacol* 20:84–91.
- van ljzendoorn MH, Bakersmans-Kranenburg MJ (2012): A sniff of trust: Meta-analysis of the effects of intranasal oxytocin administration on face recognition, trust to in-group, and trust to out-group. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 37:438–443.
- Carter CS, Getz LL, Gavish L, McDermott JL, Arnold P (1980): Malerelated pheromones and the activation of female reproduction in the prairie vole (Microtus ochrogaster). *Biol Reprod* 23:1038–1045.
- Mcguire B, Getz LL, Hofmann JE, Pizzuto T, Frase B (1993): Natal dispersal and philopatry in prairie voles (*Microtus ochrogaster*) in relation to population density, season, and natal social environment. *Behav Ecol Sociobiol* 32:293–302.
- 44. Fleming AS, Leubke C (1981): Timidity prevents the virgin female rat from being a good mother: Emotionality differences between nulliparous and parturient females. *Physiol Behav* 27:863–868.
- Roberts RL, Miller AK, Taymans SE, Carter CS (1998): Role of social and endocrine factors in alloparental behavior of prairie voles (*Microtus* ochrogaster). Can J Zool 76:1862–1868.
- 46. Lonstein JS, De Vries GJ (1999): Sex differences in the parental behaviour of adult virgin prairie voles: Independence from gonadal hormones and vasopressin. *J Neuroendocrinol* 11:441–449.
- 47. Ramos A, Mormede P (1998): Stress and emotionality: A multidimentional and genetic approach. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 22:33–57.
- Insel TR, Preston S, Winslow JT (1995): Mating in the monogamous male: Behavioral consequences. *Physiol Behav* 57:615–627.
- Bales KL, Lewis-Reese AD, Pfeifer LA, Kramer KM, Carter CS (2007): Early experience affects the traits of monogamy in a sexually dimorphic manner. *Dev Psychobiol* 49:335–342.
- Dharmadhikari A, Lee YS, Roberts RL, Carter CS (1997): Exploratory behavior correlates with social organization and is responsive to peptide injections in prairie voles. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 807:610–612.
- 51. Olazabal DE, Young ⊔ (2005): Variability in "spontaneous" maternal behavior is associated with anxiety-like behavior and affiliation in naive juvenile and adult female prairie voles (Microtus ochrogaster). *Dev Psychobiol* 47:166–178.
- 52. Hall CS (1936): Emotional behavior in the rat. III. The relationship between emotionality and ambulatory activity. *J Comp Psychol* 22: 345–352.
- Pitkow LJ, Sharer CA, Ren XL, Insel TR, Terwilliger EF, Young LJ (2001): Facilitation of affiliation and pair-bond formation by vasopressin receptor gene transfer into the ventral forebrain of a monogamous vole. J Neurosci 21:7392–7396.
- 54. Greenberg GD, Van Westerhuyzen JA, Bales KL, Trainor BC (2012): Is it all in the family? The effects of early social structure on neuralbehavioral systems of prairie voles (*Microtus ochrogaster*). *Neuroscience* 216:46–56.
- Williams JR, Catania KC, Carter CS (1992): Development of partner preferences in female prairie voles (*Microtus ochrogaster*): The role of social and sexual experience. *Horm Behav* 26:339–349.
- 56. Ahern TH, Young LJ (2009): The impact of early life family structure on adult social attachment, alloparental behavior, and the neuropeptide systems regulating affiliative behaviors in the monogamous prairie vole (*Microtus ochrogaster*). Front Behav Neurosci 3:17.
- 57. Winslow JT, Hastings N, Carter CS, Harbaugh CR, Insel TR (1993): A role for central vasopressin in pair bonding in monogamous prairie voles. *Nature* 365:545–548.
- DeVries AC, Carter CS (1999): Sex differences in temporal parameters of partner preference in prairie voles (*Microtus ochrogaster*). Can J Zool 77:885–889.
- Witt DM, Carter CS, Carlstead K, Read LD (1988): Sexual and social interactions preceding and during male-induced oestrus in prairie voles, *Microtus ochrogaster*. Anim Behav 36:1465–1471.
- Uvnas-Moberg K (1998): Oxytocin may mediate the benefits of positive social interactions and emotions. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 23:819–835.
- 61. Young LJ, Murphy Young AZ, Hammock EA (2005): Anatomy and neurochemistry of the pair bond. J Comp Neurol 493:51–57.

- 62. Aragona BJ, Wang ZX (2004): The prairie vole (*Microtus ochrogaster*): An animal model for behavioral neuroendocrine research on pair bonding. *ILAR Journal* 45:35–45.
- Littell R, Milliken GA, Stroup WW, Wolfinger RD (1996): SAS System for Mixed Models. Cary, NC: SAS Institute Inc.
- 64. Guastella AJ, Mitchell PB, Dadds MR (2008): Oxytocin increases gaze to the eye region of human faces. *Biol Psychiatry* 63:3–5.
- Guastella AJ, Howard AL, Dadds MR, Mitchell P, Carson DS (2009): A randomized controlled trial of intranasal oxytocin as an adjunct to exposure therapy for social anxiety disorder. *Psychoneuroendocrinol*ogy 34:917–923.
- Feifel D, Macdonald K, Nguyen A, Cobb P, Warlan H, Galangue B, et al. (2010): Adjunctive intranasal oxytocin reduces symptoms in schizophrenia patients. *Biol Psychiatry* 68:678–680.
- DeVries AC, Carter CS (1999): Sex differences in temporal parameters of partner preference in prairie voles (*Microtus ochrogaster*). Can J Zool 77:885–889.
- Engelmann M, Wotjak CT, Neumann I, Ludwig M, Landgraf R (1996): Behavioral consequences of intracerebral vasopressin and oxytocin: Focus on learning and memory. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 20:341–358.
- Aragona BJ, Liu Y, Curtis T, Stephan FK, Wang ZX (2003): A critical role for nucleus accumbens dopamine in partner-preference formation in male prairie voles. *J Neurosci* 23:3483–3490.
- Aragona BJ, Liu Y, Yu YJ, Curtis JT, Detwiler JM, Insel TR, Wang Z (2006): Nucleus accumbens dopamine differentially mediates the formation and maintenance of monogamous pair bonds. *Nat Neurosci* 9:133–139.
- Burkett JP, Spiegel LL, Inoue K, Murphy AZ, Young LJ (2011): Activation of u-opioid receptors in the dorsal striatum is necessary for adult social attachment in monogamous prairie voles. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 36:2200–2210.

- Insel TR, Hulihan TJ (1995): A gender-specific mechanism for pair bonding: Oxytocin and partner preference formation in monogamous voles. *Behav Neurosci* 109:782–789.
- Bales KL, Carter CS (2003): Sex differences and developmental effects of oxytocin on aggression and social behavior in prairie voles (*Microtus ochrogaster*). Horm Behav 44:178–184.
- 74. Bales KL, Boone E, Epperson P, Hoffman G, Carter CS (2011): Are behavioral effects of early experience mediated by oxytocin? *Front Psychiatry* 2:24.
- 75. Bales KL, Perkeybile AM (2012): Developmental experiences and the oxytocin receptor system. *Horm Behav* 61:313–319.
- Carter CS, Boone EM, Pournajafi-Nazarloo H, Bales KL (2009): Consequences of early experience and exposure to oxytocin and vasopressin are sexually dimorphic. *Dev Neurosci* 31:332–341.
- Heinrichs M, Domes G (2008): Neuropeptides and social behavior: Effects of oxytocin and vasopressin in humans. *Prog Brain Res* 170: 337–350.
- Yamamoto Y, Cushing BS, Kramer KM, Epperson PD, Hoffman GE, Carter CS (2004): Neonatal manipulations of oxytocin alter expression of oxytocin and vasopressin immunoreactive cells in the paraventricular nucleus of the hypothalamus in a gender specific manner. *Neuroscience* 125:947–955.
- 79. Shaw CA (1996): Age-dependent expression of receptor properties and function in CNS development. In: Shaw CA, editor. *Receptor Dynamics in Neural Development*. New York: CRC Press, 3–17.
- Insel TR, Winslow JT, Witt DM (1992): Homologous regulation of oxytocin receptors. *Endocrinology* 130:2602–2608.
- Bartz JA, Zaki J, Bolger N, Ochsner KN (2011): Social effects of oxytocin in humans: Context and person matter. *Trends Cogn Sci* 15:301–309.